Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308 Tel: (702) 228-7590 · Fax: (702) 892-0122

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In re:

Annette W. Jarvis, Utah Bar No. 1649 1 Steven C. Strong, Utah Bar No. 6340 2 RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C. 36 South State Street, Suite 1400 3 P.O. Box 45385 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385 4 Telephone: (801) 532-1500 5 Facsimile: (801) 532-7543 Email: ajarvis@rqn.com 6 Lenard E. Schwartzer, Nevada Bar No. 0399 7 Jeanette E. McPherson, Nevada Bar No. 5423 SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM 8 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1 9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308 Telephone: (702) 228-7590 10 Facsimile: (702) 892-0122 E-Mail: bkfilings@s-mlaw.com 11 12 Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession E-FILED on March 21, 2007

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY, Debtor. In re: USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC, Debtor. In re: USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND, LLC, Debtor. In re: USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC, Debtor. In re: USA SECURITIES, LLC, Debtor. Affects: ☐ All Debtors ■ USA Commercial Mortgage Company ☐ USA Securities, LLC ☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC

☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC

☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC

Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10726 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10727 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10728 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10729 LBR

Chapter 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION FILED ON BEHALF OF DEL AND ERNESTINE BUNCH

Hearing Date: March 27, 2007 Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM"), by and through its counsel, hereby files its opposition to the Motion to Compel Production filed on behalf of Del and Ernestine Bunch ("Bunch"). The discovery is supposed to be related to the Objection filed by USACM to the Bunch claim (Docket #1099) (the "Objection"). A copy of the Objection is attached hereto as **Exhibit "A"**. That Objection is based upon the fact that Bunch received payments from USACM within 90 days of the filing of USACM's Chapter 11 petition (which was filed on April 13, 2006) and, therefore, the Bunch claim should be disallowed based upon Bankruptcy Code §502(d). Bunch has served two requests for production of documents and USACM has responded to each with documents and objections. The Responses are attached as Exhibits "B" and "C". The Bunch discovery requests asked for documents which were not only unrelated to the Objection but were not likely to lead to relevant information with regard to the Objection. ¹ In support of its Opposition, USACM states as follows:

I. OVERBROAD REQUESTS AND USACM'S RESPONSE

- Bunch requested USACM's 2005 tax return and the documents provided to 1. USACM's accountants to prepare the tax return. USACM's current management responded by producing the tax return but stated it did not know which documents had been provided to the accountants.
- 2. Bunch requested production of "all bank statements" from certain accounts of the USACM without limiting the request to any particular years. USACM produced copies of its the bank statements for the period January, 2005 through the currently available date. This provided bank statements for a year prior to filing of the petition. There is no explanation why prior bank statements are relevant to the Objection. These documents only appear relevant to some litigation that Bunch may intend to have against other parties.

¹ Some of the requested documents relate to USA Investment Partners, LLC ("USAIP") and it is likely that the information would be useful for Bunch to prosecute a claim against USAIP but not in the matter before this Court.

3. Bun	ch requested production of "all bank	k statements" from certain accounts of USAII
without limiting t	the request to any particular years.	USACM could not produce any of these
bank statements v	without going through the stipulated	l protective order procedure with USAIP's
counsel. This has	s been completed on March 21, 200	7. However, there is no explanation by
Bunch why USA	IP's bank statements are relevant to	the Objection. These documents only appear
relevant to some	litigation that Bunch may have agai	nst other parties.

- 4. Bunch requested production of bank statements from a Wells Fargo Bank (discretionary) account. USACM's management hasn't located such records.
- 5. In the Second Request, Bunch requested documents related to USAIP's income tax returns. USACM's current management stated that it does not have these documents.

II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

- 6. On April 13, 2006 ("Petition Date"), USACM, USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC ("USA Realty"), USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC ("DTDF"), USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC ("FTDF" and together with DTDF, the "Funds"), and USA Securities, LLC ("USA Securities") (collectively the "Debtors") filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 7. Post-petition, management duties of USACM were conducted by Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC ("Mesirow") who served as the President and Chief Restructuring Officer.
- 8. Bunch filed their Claim on November 17, 2006, claiming an unsecured debt owed to it for "Money Loaned" in the amount of \$11,358,661.28. Bunch attached certain exhibits to their Claim including two self-prepared charts showing late fees, interest due and payments made, and a copy of a promissory note ("Note") entered into between Bunch and USACM. The Note, in favor of Bunch, evidences a loan of \$10,000,000 to USACM at an interest rate of 20% per annum. As demonstrated by the self-prepared charts attached to the Claim, however, payments were made to Bunch based on interest rates as high as 24% per annum.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	9.	Bunch received payments from USACM of \$217,000 on February 8, 2006, and
\$196,0	00 on	March 10, 2006. The date and amount of these payments is not disputed by Bunch.
These	paym	ents were both made within 90 days prior to the Petition Date and are the basis for an
avoida	nce a	etion pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 547.

- The fact that Bunch received monthly payments of interest prior to 2006 is not disputed by USACM.
- The Bunch defense to the Objection is that the payments "by the debtor was in payment of a debt incurred by the debtor in the ordinary course of business or financial affairs of the debtor and the transferee" as required by the first prong of Bankruptcy Code § 547(c)(2).

III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

The permissible range of discovery is broad but it is not without limits. The Bankruptcy Rule 9014 makes Bankruptcy Rule 7026 applicable to contested matters such as an objection to claim. Bankruptcy Rule 7026 adopts FRCivP 26. FRCivP 26 states:

- (b) DISCOVERY SCOPE AND LIMITS. Unless otherwise limited by order of the court in accordance with these rules, the scope of discovery is as follows:
 - (1) In General. Parties may obtain discovery regarding any matter, not privileged, that is relevant to the claim or defense of any party, including the existence, description, nature, custody, condition, and location of any books, documents, or other tangible things and the identity and location of persons having knowledge of any discoverable matter. For good cause, the court may order discovery of any matter relevant to the subject matter involved in the action. Relevant information need not be admissible at the trial if the discovery appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. All discovery is subject to the limitations imposed by Rule 26(b)(2)(i), (ii), and (iii).

The lack of relevancy is grounds for denial of the Motion. Discovery must be "relevant to subject matter of the pending action." In re Shur, 225 B.R. 295, 298 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1998). The mere possibility that a further or additional objection might be filed later is not grounds for expanding the scope of discovery. See In re Lane, 302 B.R. 75, 79-80 (Bankr. D.Idaho 2003). "[W]hen relevancy is not apparent on the face of the request, the party seeking the discovery has the burden to show the relevancy of the request. Steil v. Humana Kansas City, Inc., 197 F.R.D.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

442, 445 (D.Kan. 2000)." Transcor, Inc. v. Furney Charters, Inc., 212 F.R.D. 588, 591 (D.Kan. 2003).

IV. **OBJECTION TO THE BUNCH MOTION**

USACM has analyzed the Bunch Motion to Compel Production and contends that it should be denied to the extent it seeks production of documents unrelated to the Objection which is based upon Bankruptcy Code §502(d). The Bunches were recipients of transfers from USACM made to them within 90 days prior to the Petition Dates that are avoidable under section 547(b) of the Bankruptcy Code as preferential transfers. No other grounds for the Objection has been alleged. The factors which relate to this objection are USACM's financial condition in January, February, march and April, 2006. Prior financial condition will not be relevant at trial. Therefore, the Bunch Motion should be denied to the extent it requests documents unrelated the facts which would be relevant to Bankruptcy Code §502(d).

Searching for irrelevant records at the same time as USACM's management was preparing the accounting for the consummation of the Debtors' Plan of Reorganization required USACM's management to prioritize it resources. While counsel was working on legal issues and requesting documentation, management had to prepare numerous schedules necessary for distributions in investors/creditors. After documents are located, they have to be copied and reviewed. In addition, the documents relating to USAIP have to be reviewed by USAIP counsel.²

Despite this Opposition and the good basis for refusing to produce additional documents, USACM will produce additional documents on March 22, 2007 consisting of the following bank statements:

Wells Fargo Bank account no. 083-4610149 (a USACM account)

January, 2000 to December, 2004 with the following missing pages

2002, January – May – missing pages 2 & 4

2003, January and March, -missing pages 2 & 4

² USAIP counsel acted expeditiously in regard to these documents and are not the cause of any delay.

P:\USA Commercial Mortgage\Pleadings\Claim Objections\Debtor Objections\Bunch\Opposition to Bunch Motion to Compel Production.DOC -Page 5 of 7

1	2004, May, October, November & December – missing pages 2 & 4		
2	Wells Fargo Bank account no. 089-5737864 (USACM Collection Account)		
3	January, 2000 to December, 2004		
4	2000, December – missing page 1		
5	2001, December – missing all pages		
6	2003, August & November – missing page 11		
7	Community Bank account no. 10303709 (a USAIP account)		
8	April, 2002 to December, 2004		
9	Wells Fargo Bank account no. 486-5657146 (Investor Account)		
10	January, 2001 to December, 2004		
11	2001, December – missing page 2		
12	2002, March – missing page 3		
13	2003, February, May, July, September & December – missing page 4		
14	2004, August, September & October – missing page 4		
15	A search revealed that there were no other statements for account no. 562-7688186		
16	(USACM) because the account was opened in March, 2005.		
17	V. <u>CONCLUSION</u>		
18	For the reasons set forth herein, USACM respectfully requests that the Court deny the		
19	Bunch Motion to Compel Production. The discovery requested by the Motion was not relevant to		
20	the Objection and not likely to lead to relevant information in this matter.		
21	Respectfully submitted March 21, 2007.		
22			
23	/s/ Lenard E. Schwartzer		
24	Lenard E. Schwartzer, Nevada Bar No. 0399 Jeanette E. McPherson, Nevada Bar No. 5423 SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM		
2526	2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146		
27	and		
28			

Annette W. Jarvis, Utah Bar No. 1649 Steven C. Strong, Utah Bar No. 6340 RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C. 36 South State Street, Suite 1400 P.O. Box 45385 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385

EXHIBIT "A"

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Annette W. Jarvis, Utah Bar No. 1649
	Steven C. Strong, Utah Bar No. 6340
2	RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C.
3	36 South State Street, Suite 1400
ا "	P.O. Box 45385
4	Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385
	Telephone: (801) 532-1500
5	Facsimile: (801) 532-7543
	Email: ajarvis@rqn.com
6	
7	Lenard E. Schwartzer, Nevada Bar No. 0399
<i>'</i>	Jeanette E. McPherson, Nevada Bar No. 5423
8	SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
	2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
10	Telephone: (702) 228-7590
10	Facsimile: (702) 892-0122
11	E-Mail: <u>bkfilings@s-mlaw.com</u>
12	Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

E-FILED on December 11, 2006

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY, Debtor. In re: USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC, Debtor. In re: USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND, LLC, Debtor. USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC, Debtor. In re: USA SECURITIES, LLC, Debtor. Affects: ☐ All Debtors ■ USA Commercial Mortgage Company □ USA Securities, LLC ☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC

☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC

☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC

Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10726 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10727 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10728 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10729 LBR

Chapter 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY'S OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 1099 FILED BY DEL AND ERNESTINE BUNCH

Hearing Date: January 17, 2007 Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.

USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM"), by and through its counsel, hereby files its objection ("Objection") to Claim No. 1099 ("Claim") filed by Del and Ernestine Bunch ("Bunches") and moves this Court, pursuant to section 502 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), and Rule 3007 of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice (the "Local Rules") for an order granting the relief sought by this Objection. In support of its Objection, USACM states as follows:

I. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B).
- 2. The statutory predicate for the relief requested herein is 11 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

- 3. On April 13, 2006 ("Petition Date"), USACM, USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC ("USA Realty"), USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC ("DTDF"), USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC ("FTDF" and together with DTDF, the "Funds"), and USA Securities, LLC ("USA Securities") (collectively the "Debtors") filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. USACM and the other Debtors continue to operate their businesses, if any, as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Management duties of USACM are conducted by Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC ("Mesirow") who serves as the President and Chief Restructuring Officer.
- 4. On May 10, 2006, the Office of the United States Trustee filed notice indicating that the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of USA Commercial Mortgage Company and the Official Committee of Holders of Executory Contract Rights Through USA Commercial Mortgage Company (collectively the "Committees") had been formed. No trustee or examiner has been appointed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 5 On September 14, 2006, the Court entered its Order Setting Deadline to File Proofs of Claim and Proofs of Interest (the "Bar Date Order") (Docket No. 1280). The Bar Date Order established 5:00 p.m., prevailing Pacific Time, on November 13, 2006, as the deadline for creditors to file proof of claims ("Bar Date"). The Court has extended the deadline for Direct Lenders to file proofs of claim to January 13, 2007.
- 6. On September 25, 2006, USACM served a copy of the Bar Date Order on its service lists (Docket No. 1358).
- 7. The Bunches filed their Claim on November 17, 2006, claiming an unsecured debt owed to it for "Money Loaned" in the amount of \$11,358,661.28. The Bunches attached certain exhibits to their Claim including two self-prepared charts showing late fees, interest due and payments made, and a copy of a promissory note ("Note") entered into between the Bunches and USACM. The Note, in favor of the Bunches, evidences a loan of \$10,000,000 to USACM at an interest rate of 20% per annum. As demonstrated by the self-prepared charts attached to the Claim, however, payments were made to the Bunches based on an interest rate of 24% per annum.
- 8. Upon information and belief, USACM asserts that the Bunches received payments from USACM of \$217,000 on February 8, 2006, and \$196,000 on March 10, 2006. The existence of these payments is also reflected in the exhibits attached to the Claim. These payments were both made within 90 days prior to the Petition Date.

III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

- 9. Pursuant to section 502(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, any claim for which a proof of claim has been filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof of claim, the court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the claim and shall allow the claim except to the extent that the claim is "unenforceable against the debtor ... under any ... applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b).
- 10. USACM is entitled to object to proofs of claim under section 502(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11 A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.), 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995), aff'd, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996). The ultimate burden of proof as to the validity of a proof of claim "remains at all times upon the claimant." Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, *Inc.* (In re Lundell), 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000).

12. Section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code states that:

> [T]he court shall disallow any claim of any entity . . . that is a transferee of a transfer avoidable under section 522(f), 522(h), 544, 545, 547, 548, 549, or 724(a) of this title, unless such entity or transferee has paid the amount, or turned over any such property for which such entity or transferee is liable under section 522(i), 542, 543, 550, or 553 of this title.

IV. **OBJECTION TO THE BUNCHES' CLAIM**

- 13. USACM has analyzed the Bunches' Claim and contends that it should be disallowed under section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Bunches were recipients of transfers from USACM made to them within 90 days prior to the Petition Dates that are avoidable under section 547(b) of the Bankruptcy Code as preferential transfers. Also, there may be other grounds for avoidance of pre-petition transfers from USACM to the Bunches. Therefore, the Bunches' Claim should be disallowed pursuant to section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 14. USACM reserves the right to further object to any and all claims, whether or not the subject of this Objection, for allowance, voting, and/or distribution purposes, and any other grounds. USACM further reserves the right to modify, supplement and/or amend this Objection as it pertains to any claim or claimant herein.

V. **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons set forth herein, USACM respectfully requests that the Court enter an order sustaining its Objection and disallowing Claim No. 1099 filed by Del and Ernestine Bunch in its entirety because they are the recipients of fraudulent transfers. Section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code, therefore, mandates that the Claim be disallowed. USACM also requests that the Court

28

1	grant such other and further relief as it deems just and proper.
2	Respectfully submitted this 11th day of December, 2006.
3	
4	/s/ Jeanette E. J
5	Lenard E. Schwart Jeanette E. McPhe
6	SCHWARTZER & 2850 South Jones
7	Las Vegas, Nevad
8	and
9	Annette W. Jarvis, Steven C. Strong,
10	RAY QUINNEY of 36 South State Str
11	P.O. Box 45385
12	Salt Lake City, Ut
13	
14	
15	
16	904495
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

/s/J	eanette	Ε.	McP	herson
------	---------	----	-----	--------

Lenard E. Schwartzer, Nevada Bar No. 0399 Jeanette E. McPherson, Nevada Bar No. 5423 SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Annette W. Jarvis, Utah Bar No. 1649 Steven C. Strong, Utah Bar No. 6340 RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C. 36 South State Street, Suite 1400 P.O. Box 45385 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385

EXHIBIT "B"

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

```
Annette W. Jarvis, Utah Bar No. 1649
1
    RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C.
2
    36 South State Street, Suite 1400
    P.O. Box 45385
3
    Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385
    Telephone: (801) 532-1500
4
    Facsimile: (801) 532-7543
    Email: ajarvis@rqn.com
5
       and
    Lenard E. Schwartzer, Nevada Bar No. 0399
6
    Jeanette E. McPherson, Nevada Bar No. 5423
     SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
 7
    2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
 8
    Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
     Telephone: (702) 228-7590
 9
     Facsimile: (702) 892-0122
     E-Mail: bkfilings@s-mlaw.com
10
     Attorneys for Debtors
11
12
     USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY.
```

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANT	Debtor.
In re: USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,	Debtor.
In re: USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED I LLC,	FUND,
	Debtor.
In re: USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, I	LC, Debtor.
In re: USA SECURITIES, LLC,	Debtor.
Affects: ☐ All Debtors ☑ USA Commercial Mortgage Company ☐ USA Securities, LLC ☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC ☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, L	LC

Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10726 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10727 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10728 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10729 LBR

Chapter 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR

RESPONSES TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS SERVED BY DEL AND ERNESTINE BUNCH UPON USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE CO.

Date of Hearing: N/A Time of Hearing: N/A

Page 1 of 4 RQNDOCS-#914598-v1-Response_to_1st_Req__for_Production_by_Bunch

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

assigns related to the 2005 tax return.

Bunch's ("Bunch") F	irst Request for Production of Documents, as follows:
REQUEST NO. 1:	Please provide a copy of the tax return, with all attached schedules,
filed by USA Comm	ercial Mortgage Co. for tax year 2005, including any requests for
extensions, commun	ication with the Internal Revenue Service, or its agents, employees or

USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM") hereby responds to Del and Ernestine

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 1: A copy of USACM's tax return for the year 2005 will be produced at a time and place agreed upon by counsel for the parties. USACM's current management is not currently aware of the existence of any requests for extensions, or communications with the Internal Revenue Service relating to this tax return.

REQUEST NO. 2: Please provide copies of all documents submitted by USA Commercial Mortgage Co. to any accounting firm for use in preparation of the 2005 tax return on behalf of USA Commercial Mortgage Co.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 2: USACM's current management does not know what documents may have been provided to the accounting firm for use in the preparation of the 2005 tax return. However, Beadle, McBride Evans & Reeves, LLP (n/k/a Beadle, McBride & Reeves, LLC) prepared the tax return and may have such documents in its possession.

REQUEST NO. 3: Please provide copies of all bank statements regarding account no. 083-4610149 (Choice IV Commercial Checking Account) maintained by USA Commercial Mortgage Co. with Wells Fargo Bank.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 3: USACM objects to this request as being overbroad, burdensome and requesting documents that are not relevant to the issues in this matter and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving these objections, the account statements regarding account no. 083-4610149 for the period between January 2005 and April 2006 will be produced at a time and place agreed upon by counsel for the parties.

REQUEST NO. 4: Please provide copies of all bank statements regarding account no. 562-7688186 (Basic Checking Account) maintained by USA Commercial Mortgage Co. with

Wells	Fargo	Ban	ĸ.
-------	-------	-----	----

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 4: The account statements regarding account no. 562-7688186 for the period between March 2005 (which is the month the account was opened) and April 2006 will be produced at a time and place agreed upon by counsel for the parties.

REQUEST NO. 5: Please provide copies of all bank statements regarding account no. 0895737864 (Collections Trust Account) maintained by USA Commercial Mortgage Co. with Wells Fargo Bank.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 5: USACM objects to this request as being overbroad, burdensome and requesting documents that are not relevant to the issues in this matter and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving these objections, the account statements regarding account no. 0895737864 for the period between January 2005 and April 2006 will be provided at a time and place agreed upon by counsel for the parties.

Please provide copies of all bank statements regarding account no. **REQUEST NO. 6:** 0486-5647146 (Investors Trust Account) maintained by USA Commercial Mortgage Co. with Wells Fargo Bank.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 6: USACM objects to this request as being overbroad, burdensome and requesting documents that are not relevant to the issues in this matter and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving these objections, the account statements regarding account no. 0486-5647146 for the period between January 2005 and April 2006 will be provided at a time and place agreed upon by counsel for the parties.

REQUEST NO. 7

Please provide copies of all bank statements regarding account no. 562-7688020 (Discretionary) maintained by USA Commercial Mortgage Co. with Wells Fargo Bank.:

26 111

27 111

111

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 7: USACM's current management does not have any record of this bank account, and therefore, is not currently aware of the existence of any such documents.

DATED this 2014 day of February, 2007.

Lehard E. Schwartzer, Nevada Bar No. 0399 Jeanette E. McPherson, Nevada Bar No. 5423 SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

and

Annette W. Jarvis, Utah Bar No. 1649 Steven C. Strong, Utah Bar No. 6340 RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C. 36 South State Street, Suite 1400 P.O. Box 45385 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385

EXHIBIT "C"

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

```
Annette W. Jarvis, Utah Bar No. 1649
1
    RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C.
    36 South State Street, Suite 1400
2
    P.O. Box 45385
    Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385
3
    Telephone: (801) 532-1500
4
    Facsimile: (801) 532-7543
    Email: ajarvis@rqn.com
5
    Lenard E. Schwartzer, Nevada Bar No. 0399
6
     Jeanette E. McPherson, Nevada Bar No. 5423
     SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
7
     2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
 8
     Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
     Telephone: (702) 228-7590
 9
     Facsimile: (702) 892-0122
     E-Mail: bkfilings@s-mlaw.com
10
     Attorneys for Debtors
11
12
     In re:
13
```

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY	,
OSK COMMENCE IN THE INTERPRETATION OF THE IN	Debtor.
In re:	
USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,	
	Debtor.
In re:	
USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED F	UND,
LLC,	D 14
	Debtor.
In re:	
USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, L	LU, Dalatan
	Debtor.
In re:	
USA SECURITIES, LLC,	Dalatan
	Debtor.
Affects:	
☐ All Debtors	
■ USA Commercial Mortgage Company	
☐ USA Securities, LLC	
☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC	~
☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LI	LC
☐ USA First Trust Deed Fund, LLC	

Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10726 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10727 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10728 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10729 LBR

Chapter 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR

RESPONSES TO SECOND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS SERVED BY DEL AND ERNESTINE BUNCH UPON USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE CO.

Date of Hearing: N/A Time of Hearing: N/A

Page 1 of 3
RQNDOCS-#914620-v1-Response_to_2nd_Req_for_Production_of_Documents_by_Bunch

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

REQUEST NO. 1: Please produce copies of the 2005 tax return, including all schedules and/or attachments, filed for tax year 2005 on behalf of Investment Partners, including, but not limited to, any requests for extension or other communications with the Internal Revenue Service regarding the 2005 tax return.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 1: USACM's current management is not aware that it has in its possession the 2005 tax return for Investment Partners or any documents relating to requests for extensions or other communications with the Internal Revenue Service. However, there are many thousands of pages of documents that still need to be reviewed pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order entered in Plaintiffs' bankruptcy case on January 31, 2007 (the "Stipulated Protective Order Review"). The order deals with documents of Investment Partners that are currently in the Debtors' possession. To the extent the 2005 tax return of Investment Partners is identified during the Stipulated Protective Order Review, it will be produced at a time and place agreed upon by counsel for the parties. Investment Partners may also have a copy of the 2005 tax return.

REQUEST NO. 2: Please provide copies of all documents within your possession or control submitted by you and/or Investment Partners to any accountant or accounting firm for use in preparation of the 2005 tax return on behalf of Investment Partners.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 2: USACM's current management is not aware that it has in its possession the documents that were provided to any accounting firm for use in the preparation of the 2005 tax return for Investment Partners. However, there are many thousands of pages of documents that still need to be reviewed pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order entered in Plaintiffs' bankruptcy case on January 31, 2007 (the "Stipulated Protective Order Review"). The order deals with documents of Investment Partners that are currently in the possession of the Debtors. To the extent the documents that were provided to any accounting firm for use in the preparation of 2005 tax return of Investment Partners are identified during the Stipulated Protective Order Review, they will be produced at a time and place agreed upon by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

counsel for the parties. Investment Partners may also have copies of the documents that were
provided to any accounting firm for use in the preparation of 2005 tax return.

Please provide copies of all bank statements within your possession or REQUEST NO. 3: control regarding account no. 10303709 maintained by Investment Partners at Community Bank of Nevada.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 3: USACM objects to this request as being overbroad, burdensome and requesting documents that are not relevant to the issues in this matter and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving these objections, the account statements regarding account no. 10303709 for the period between January 2005 and April 2006 will be produced at a time and place agreed upon by counsel for the parties after they have gone through the Stipulated Protective Order Review.

DATED this 28th day of February, 2007.

Lenard E. Schwartzer, Nevada Bar No. 0399 Jeanette E. McPherson, Nevada Bar No. 5423 SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

and

Annette W. Jarvis, Utah Bar No. 1649 Steven C. Strong, Utah Bar No. 6340 RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C. 36 South State Street, Suite 1400 P.O. Box 45385 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385